

Appl. No. 10/611,496
Amdt. dated February 28, 2005
Reply to Office Action of December 2, 2004

REMARKS

Claims 1 to 46 were pending in the Application at the time of examination. The Examiner objected to FIG. 4 as filed. The Examiner rejected Claims 4, 5, 32, 33, 43 and 44 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, but indicated these Claims would be allowable if re-written. The Examiner allowed Claims 1, 2, 3, 6 to 31, 34 to 42, 45 and 46.

Applicants have amended FIG. 4. Applicants have amended the Specification to promote consistency between the drawings and the Specification. Applicants have amended Claims 4, 5, 32, 33, 43 and 44. Consequently, Claims 1 to 46 remain in the Application.

OBJECTION TO FIG. 4

The Examiner objected to FIG. 4 as filed. In particular, the Examiner objected to the fact that the reference numeral 62 was used to denote two separate elements in FIG. 4.

As shown in the attached replacement sheet for FIG. 4, Applicants have changed the designation of the power supply to element 61. In addition, Applicants have amended the specification to reflect this change to FIG. 4 and to promote consistency between the drawings and the Specification.

In light of the amendments to the drawings and the Specification, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner withdraw the objection to FIG. 4.

REJECTION OF CLAIMS 4, 5, 32, 33, 43 AND 44

Appl. No. 10/611,496
Amdt. dated February 28, 2005
Reply to Office Action of December 2, 2004

The Examiner rejected Claims 4, 5, 32, 33, 43 and 44 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, but indicated these Claims would be allowable if re-written to overcome the rejection.

As shown above, Applicants have amended Claims 4, 5, 32, 33, 43 and 44 in response to the Examiner's comments. In light of the amendments to Claims 4, 5, 32, 33, 43 and 44, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner withdraw the rejection of Claims 4, 5, 32, 33, 43 and 44 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, and allow Claims 4, 5, 32, 33, 43 and 44 to issue.

ALLOWED CLAIMS 1, 2, 3, 6 to 31, 34 to 42, 45 AND 46

The Examiner previously allowed Claims 1, 2, 3, 6 to 31, 34 to 42, 45 and 46. In light of the fact that Applicants have not amended Claims 1, 2, 3, 6 to 31, 34 to 42, 45 and 46 since Claims 1, 2, 3, 6 to 31, 34 to 42, 45 and 46 were allowed, Applicants respectfully request Claims 1, 2, 3, 6 to 31, 34 to 42, 45 and 46 be allowed to issue.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Applicants respectfully request allowance of all pending claims. If the Examiner has any questions relating to the above, the Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned Attorney for Applicants.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on February 28, 2005.



Attorney for Applicant(s)

February 28, 2005
Date of Signature

Respectfully submitted,



Philip J. McKay
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Reg. No. 38,966
Tel.: (831) 655-0880

Appl. No. 10/611,496
Amdt. dated February 28, 2005
Reply to Office Action of December 2, 2004

Amendments to the Drawings:

The attached sheet of drawings includes changes to FIG. 4.
This sheet, which includes FIG. 4 and FIG. 5, replaces the
original sheet including FIG. 4 and FIG. 5.

Attachment: Replacement Sheet